



# Clinical significance of abnormal electrode impedance readings in deep brain stimulation for treatment of movement disorders.



Olga Waln, MD<sup>1</sup>; Joohee Jimenez-Shahed, MD<sup>1</sup>.

Parkinson's Disease Center and Movement Disorders Clinic, Department of Neurology<sup>1</sup>,  
Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

## Background

- Open circuit (OpC) and short circuit (ShC) are types of deep brain stimulation (DBS) hardware malfunction that present as abnormal electrode and therapy impedance and often require surgical intervention.
- Impedance checks should be routinely performed during programming sessions to check hardware integrity, but the clinical significance of abnormal impedance readings is not well characterized, especially in Activa® devices.
- Objective: To investigate the clinical correlates of DBS hardware malfunction presenting as abnormal impedance readings in patients with movement disorders.**

## Methods

- Patients with abnormal impedance readings from 1/2010 to 4/2013 were identified through active clinical practice or database search of those referred for surgical intervention.
- Retrospective chart review was performed beginning with the last DBS-related surgery.
- Clinical presentation, type and evolution of the abnormal circuit, and eventual resolution (e.g., surgical correction) or other disposition were recorded.

## Results

**Table 1. Patient demographics, clinical and DBS data**

Patients (N=9)	
Age	51-80
Gender	F (N=4), M (N=5)
Diagnosis	PD (N=7), ET (N=1), ET+PD (N=1)
X-rays done / results	6 / all normal
Circuit abnormality	Open (N=8) Short (N=3)

\*1 patient had OpC and ShC (same side, different contacts, different time)

\*\*1 patient had OpC twice (different side, different time)

	Open circuit (N=8)	Short circuit (N=3)
Time from Sx to abnl impedance (months)	0.5 to >36	0 to 7
DBS device	Kinetra (N=1) Soletra (N=3) Activa PC (N=1) Activa SC (N=3)	Activa PC (N=3)
Clinical manifestation	Asymptomatic (N=4) Gradual loss of effect (N=3) Never had good DBS effect (N=1) New side effects (N=1)	Asymptomatic (N=1) Fast battery depletion (N=1) Sudden loss of effect (N=1)
Outcome	Surgical correction (N=3) Pending surgery (N=1) Observe (N=2) Spontaneous resolution (N=1) Resolution post IPG exchange (N=1)	Surgical correction (N=2) Spontaneous resolution (N=1)

## Results, continued

**Table 2. Clinical manifestations in patients with abnormal impedance readings**

*\*all subjects had 3387 leads  
\*T1 = time from surgery to abnl Imp; T2 = time from abnl Imp to clinical recognition*

Code Age/G	Target, IPG type	Imp reading	Contact involved	T1 <sup>y</sup> (mo) T2 (mo)	Symptoms	Surgical correction? Location circuit abnormality	Disposition
<b>OPEN CIRCUIT</b>							
O1 67/M	STN Kinetra	>4,000Ω 0&2, 2&3 → all contact 2 pairs	Active	24 4	Gradual loss of effect (wearing-off post programming) →sudden worsening	Yes Connector to IPG	Resolved after surgical correction
O2 52/M	STN Activa PC	>20,000Ω 1&3, 2&3 → all contact 3 pairs	Inactive	0.5 12	Gradual loss of effect Progression of OpC	Yes Extension wire	Resolved after surgical correction but ShC at different contacts(=S2)
O3 77/M	STN Soletra	>2,000Ω (current<7) all contact 0 pairs	Inactive	>36 0 (lost f/u)	Asymptomatic	No (routine IPG exchange)	OC persist; follow-up pending
O4 80/F	VIM Activa SC	>10,000Ω all contact 2 pairs	Inactive	4 n/a	Asymptomatic	No (observe)	Spontaneous normalization of Imp readings
O5 63/M	STN Soletra	>2,000Ω (current<7) all contact 3 pairs	Inactive	22 n/a	Asymptomatic	No (routine IPG exchange)	Resolved after IPG exchange
O6 63/M	STN Activa SC	>40,000Ω all contact 2 pairs	Active	3 0 (no f/u)	Positional tingling left arm/leg Gradual loss of tremor effect	Yes Extension wire	Resolved after surgical correction
O7 58/F	L-VIM / R-STN Activa SC	>40,000Ω all contact 0 pairs	Inactive	2 0	No effect from DBS since surgery (but electrode also suboptimally placed)	Yes (Pending)	Pending surgery
O8 61/F	STN Soletra	>2000Ω (current<7) intermittent, positional	Active	26 n/a	Asymptomatic (position-related abnl Imp readings)	No (observe) Suspicion: connector to IPG	Spontaneous normalization of Imp readings
<b>SHORT CIRCUIT</b>							
S1 51/F	STN, Activa PC	117-119Ω all contact 0 pairs, 1&2, 1&3, 2&3	Active	0 12	Asymptomatic Rapid IPG drain	Yes DBS lead @ burrhole cap	Resolved after surgical correction
S2 52/M	STN Activa PC	31Ω at 0&1	Inactive	0 n/a	Asymptomatic	No (observe)	Spontaneous normalization of Imp readings
S3 73/M	STN Activa PC	104Ω at 1&2 Therapy Imp 100 (current 22.950mA)	Active	4 2 (no f/u for 2 mo)	Sudden loss of effect after configuration changed to that with ShC	Yes Extension wire	Resolved after surgical correction

- Abnormal circuits involving either active or inactive electrodes can have similar clinical presentations (Table 2, purple boxes – compare patients O2 and O6).
- Patients with abnormal circuits involving active electrodes can have different clinical manifestation from asymptomatic to sudden loss of effect (Table 2, red boxes – compare patients S1 and S3).

## Discussion

### Clinical presentation of OpC and ShC can include:

- ✓ No effect of stimulation since surgery
- ✓ Gradual loss of previous effect
- ✓ Sudden loss or change of previous effect [1,2]
- ✓ New side effects from stimulation (often sensory)
- ✓ Intermittent side effects / loss of effect (intermittent open circuits, incomplete disruption of circuit)
- ✓ Asymptomatic abnormal impedance
- ✓ Rapid battery drain

- OpC and ShC can have similar clinical symptoms; therefore clinical manifestation does not predict type of hardware malfunction.
- Abnormal impedance readings do not indicate site of hardware malfunction.
- Spontaneous resolution of abnormal impedance readings is possible.

## Conclusions

- Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion of a circuit problem based on clinical manifestations as described.
- Electrode and therapy impedances should be routinely monitored to determine presence and nature of circuit abnormality in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients.
- If an asymptomatic impedance abnormality is detected, patients should be closely monitored over time for gradual loss of effect or rapid battery drain.
- X-rays should be performed but do not always identify a specific location of hardware malfunction.
- Surgical exploration may be required to identify site of circuit malfunction.

**Table 3. Suggested management of patients in DBS clinic.**

<b>Check electrode and therapy impedance at default settings</b>	- If abnormal, increase voltage/current at which it is checked - If persistently abnormal, suspect OpC (high Imp) or ShC (low Imp) and change contacts to those uninvolved - If no/insufficient symptom control → X-ray and surgical referral
<b>Check battery drain</b>	- If greater than expected, suspect ShC (low Imp) and monitor closely for ERI → eventual referral for IPG exchange and system investigation
<b>X-rays</b>	- If abnormal → directed surgical intervention - If normal → exploratory surgery with "system investigation" to identify site of malfunction

## References

- Farris S, Vitek J, Giroux M. Deep brain stimulation hardware complications: the role of electrode impedance and current measurements. *Mov Disord* 2008;23:755-60.
- Samura K, Miyagi Y, Okamoto T, et al. Short circuit in deep brain stimulation. *J Neurosurg*. 2012; Nov;117(5):955-61.